

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,410	07/16/2003	Chang-Chi Lee	MR1715-72	7373
4586	7590 10/21/2004		EXAMINER	
	G, KLEIN & LEE	NGUYEN, CHAU N		
	3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101 ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2831	
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/619,410	LEE, CHANG-CHI		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Chau N Nguyen	2831		
1 Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE MA - Extensio after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Find for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replained for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute and the provision of the provision of the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠ Th 3)⊡ Si	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 S</u> his action is FINAL . 2b) This note this application is in condition for allowards and in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition	of Claims				
4a 5)□ Cl 6)⊠ Cl 7)□ Cl	aim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawaim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) 1 is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction and/o				
Application	Papers				
10)□ The Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the Examine e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct e oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)		A) □ !-Aà S	(PTO 442)		
2)	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification, as originally filed, does not provide support for the claimed subject matter of "the enhanced shield layer being purely formed of conductive carbon black" as now recited in claim 1.

Due to this rejection, the following rejection is based on the disclosure as originally filed and the applicant's remarks filed on Sept. 13th 2004. Specifically, the enhanced shield layer is formed of a plastic material impregnated with a conductive material which may be conductive carbon black, conductive colorant, conductive metal fiber, conductive metal particles, or conductive metal powder.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,410 Page 3

Art Unit: 2831

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ferlier (5,397,855).

Ferlier discloses a cable comprising a conductor (1), an insulation layer (2), a metal braid layer (4), and an outer jacket (5), wherein an enhanced shield layer (3) is disposed between the insulation layer and the metal braid and formed of a plastic material which is impregnated with conductive carbon black.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection except for the following.

At page 3 of the amendment, filed on Sept. 13th 2004, applicant briefly review the subject matter of the claimed invention. Applicant states that "the enhanced shield layer 13 is formed of a plastic material impregnated with a conductive material which may be conductive carbon black, conductive colorant,

conductive metal fiber, conductive metal particles, or conductive metal powder". Applicant, at page 4 of the amendment, argues that although the Ferlier reference teaches the use of carbon black, the conductive coating layer 3 merely contains carbon black suspended in a silicone coating based on a polysiloxane type of polymer. The Ferlier reference does not teach or suggest the use of a shield layer purely formed of conductive carbon black. Applicant further states that the shield layer 13 of the present application utilizes conductive plastic material in order to prevent bending/metal fatigue found in prior art systems.

According to the original disclosure of the present application and according to the applicant's statements in the remarks, the shield layer 13 of the present invention is formed of a plastic material which is impregnated with conductive carbon black. Such shield layer is disclosed in the Ferlier reference, specifically Ferlier discloses conductive coating layer 3 being formed of a plastic material which is impregnated with conductive carbon black. The feature of the shield layer 13 being purely formed of conductive carbon black is not supported by the original disclosure and irrelevant with respect to the teaching of Ferlier.

Summary

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau N Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1980. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,410 Page 6

Art Unit: 2831

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Chau N Nguyen **Primary Examiner**

Chaungrup

Art Unit 2831